arXiv:1510.05177v1 [math.AP] 17 Oct 2015

Remark on the N-barrier method for a class of autonomous elliptic systems

Li-Chang Hung*

Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University, Republic of Taiwan

Dedicated to my grandmother in memoriam

Abstract

In this note, we aim to extend the previous work on an N-barrier maximum principle ([1, 2]) to a more general class of systems of two equations. Moreover, an N-barrier maximum principle for systems of three equations is established.

1 N-barrier maximum principle for two equations

We are concerned with the autonomous elliptic system in $\mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{cases} d_1\, u_{xx} + \theta\, u_x + u\, f(u,v) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \\ d_2\, v_{xx} + \theta\, v_x + v\, g(u,v) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

which arises from the study of traveling waves in the following reaction-diffusion system ([3]):

$$\begin{cases} u_t = d_1\, u_{yy} + u\, f(u,v), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > 0, \\ \\ v_t = d_2\, v_{yy} + v\, g(u,v), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

A positive solution $(u(x), v(x)) = (u(y,t), v(y,t))$, $x = y - \theta\, t$ of (1.1) stands for a traveling wave solution of (1.2). Here d_1 and d_2 represent the diffusion rates and θ is the

*Corresponding author's email address: lichang.hung@gmail.com

propagation speed of the traveling wave. Throughout, we assume, unless otherwise stated, that the following hypotheses on $f(u,v) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ and $g(u,v) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ are satisfied:

[**H1**] the unique solution of $f(u,v) = g(u,v) = 0$ and $u, v > 0$ is $(u,v) = (u^*, v^*)$;

[**H2**] the unique solution of $f(u,0) = 0$ and $u > 0$ is $u = u_1 > 0$; the unique solution of $f(0,v) = 0, v > 0$ is $v = v_1 > 0$; the unique solution of $g(u,0) = 0, u > 0$ is $u = u_2 > 0$; the unique solution of $g(0,v) = 0, v > 0$ is $v = v_2 > 0$;

[**H3**] as $u, v > 0$ are sufficiently large, $f(u,v), g(u,v) < 0$; as $u, v > 0$ are sufficiently small, $f(u,v), g(u,v) > 0$;

[**H4**] the two curves

$$\mathcal{C}_f = \Big\{(u,v) \;\Big|\; f(u,v) = 0,\ u,v \geq 0\Big\} \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_g = \Big\{(u,v) \;\Big|\; g(u,v) = 0,\ u,v \geq 0\Big\} \tag{1.4}$$

lie completely within the region $\mathcal{R}$, which is enclosed by the u-axis, the v-axis, the line $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ given by

$$\bar{\mathcal{L}} = \big\{(u,v) \;\big|\; \frac{u}{\bar{u}} + \frac{v}{\bar{v}} = 1,\ u,v \geq 0\big\} \tag{1.5}$$

and the line $\underline{\mathcal{L}}$ given by

$$\underline{\mathcal{L}} = \big\{(u,v) \;\big|\; \frac{u}{\underline{u}} + \frac{v}{\underline{v}} = 1,\ u,v \geq 0\big\}, \tag{1.6}$$

for some $\bar{u} > \underline{u} > 0$, $\bar{v} > \underline{v} > 0$.

In this note, the following boundary value problem for (1.10) is studied:

$$\begin{cases} d_1\, u_{xx} + \theta\, u_x + u\, f(u,v) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ d_2\, v_{xx} + \theta\, v_x + v\, g(u,v) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ (u,v)(-\infty) = \mathbf{e}_-, \quad (u,v)(+\infty) = \mathbf{e}_+, \end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

where $\mathbf{e}_-, \mathbf{e}_+ = (0,0), (u_1,0), (0,v_2)$, or (u^*, v^*). We call a solution $(u(x), v(x))$ of (1.10) an $(\mathbf{e}_-, \mathbf{e}_+)$-wave. As in [1, 2], adding the two equations in (1.10) leads to an equation involving $p(x) = \alpha\, u(x) + \beta\, v(x)$ and $q(x) = d_1\, \alpha\, u(x) + d_2\, \beta\, v(x)$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \alpha\, \big(d_1\, u_{xx} + \theta\, u_x + u\, f(u,v)\big) + \beta\, \big(d_2\, v_{xx} + \theta\, v_x + v\, g(u,v)\big) \\ &= q''(x) + \theta\, p'(x) + \alpha\, u\, f(u,v) + \beta\, v\, g(u,v) \\ &= q''(x) + \theta\, p'(x) + F(u,v), \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

where α, $\beta > 0$ are *arbitrary* constants and $F(u,v) = \alpha\, u\, (\sigma_1 - c_{11}\, u - c_{12}\, v) + \beta\, v\, (\sigma_2 - c_{21}\, u - c_{22}\, v)$. To show the main result, we begin with a useful lemma.

Lemma 1.1. *Under* [**H1**]∼[**H4**], *the curve* $F(u,v)=0$ *in the first quadrant of the uv-plane, i.e*

$$\mathcal{C}_F=\Big\{(u,v)\;\Big|\;F(u,v)=0,\;u,v\geq 0\Big\} \tag{1.9}$$

lies completely within the region $\mathcal{R}$.

Proof. The proof is elementary and is thus omitted here. □

We are now in the position to prove

Theorem 1.2 (**N-Barrier Maximum Principle for Systems of Two Equations**). *Assume* [**H1**]∼[**H4**] *hold. Suppose that there exists a pair of positive solutions* $(u(x),v(x))$ *of the boundary value problem*

$$\begin{cases} d_1\,u_{xx}+\theta\,u_x+u\,f(u,v)=0, \quad x\in\mathbb{R},\\ d_2\,v_{xx}\,+\theta\,v_x+v\,g(u,v)=0, \quad x\in\mathbb{R},\\ (u,v)(-\infty)=\mathbf{e}_-, \quad (u,v)(+\infty)=\mathbf{e}_+, \end{cases} \tag{1.10}$$

where $\mathbf{e}_-,\mathbf{e}_+=(0,0),(u_1,0),(0,v_2)$, *or* (u^*,v^*). *For any* $\alpha,\beta>0$, *let* $p(x)=\alpha\,u(x)+\beta\,v(x)$ *and* $q(x)=\alpha\,d_1\,u(x)+\beta\,d_2\,v(x)$. *We have*

$$\underline{p}\leq p(x)\leq\bar{p}, \quad x\in\mathbb{R}, \tag{1.11}$$

where

$$\bar{p}=\max\big(\alpha\,\bar{u},\beta\,\bar{v}\big)\,\frac{\max(d_1,d_2)}{\min(d_1,d_2)} \tag{1.12}$$

and

$$\underline{p}=\min\big(\alpha\,\underline{u},\beta\,\underline{v}\big)\,\frac{\min(d_1,d_2)}{\max(d_1,d_2)}\,\chi, \tag{1.13}$$

where

$$\chi=\begin{cases}1, & \text{if}\quad \mathbf{e}_\pm\neq(0,0),\\ 0, & \text{if}\quad \mathbf{e}_\pm=(0,0).\end{cases} \tag{1.14}$$

Proof. We employ *the N-barrier method* developed in [1, 2] to show (1.11). It is readily seen that once appropriate *N-barriers* are constructed, the upper and lower bounds in (1.11) are given in exactly the same way as in [1, 2].

To prove the lower bound, we can construct an N-barrier which starts either from the point $(\underline{u},0)$ or $(0,\underline{v})$. Due to Lemma 1.1, the N-barrier is away from the region $\mathcal{R}$. Then the lower bound can be proved by contradiction as in [1, 2].

On the other hand, the upper bound can be shown in the same manner by constructing an N-barrier starting either from the point $(\bar{u},0)$ or $(0,\bar{v})$. In addition, it is clear that when the boundary conditions $\mathbf{e}_+=(0,0)$ or $\mathbf{e}_-=(0,0)$, a trivial lower bound of $p(x)$, i.e. $p(x)\geq 0$ can only be given. This completes the proof.

□

2 N-barrier maximum principle for three equations

In this section, the following assumptions on $f(u,v,w) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+)$, $g(u,v,w) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+)$, and $h(u,v,w) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ are satisfied:

[**A1**] ***(Unique coexistence state)*** $(u,v,w) = (u^*, v^*, w^*)$ is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} f(u,v,w) = 0, & u,v,w > 0, \\ g(u,v,w) = 0, & u,v,w > 0, \\ h(u,v,w) = 0, & u,v,w > 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

[**A2**] ***(Competitively exclusive states)*** For some $u_i, v_i, w_i > 0$ $(i = 1,2,3.)$ with $(\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2)^2 + (\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_3)^2 + (\Lambda_2 - \Lambda_3)^2 \neq 0$ $(\Lambda = u, v, w.)$,

$$f(u_1, 0, 0) = f(0, v_1, 0) = f(0, 0, w_1) = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

$$g(u_2, 0, 0) = g(0, v_2, 0) = g(0, 0, w_2) = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

$$h(u_3, 0, 0) = h(0, v_3, 0) = h(0, 0, w_3) = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

[**A3**] ***(Logistic-growth nonlinearity)*** For $u, v, w > 0$, as u, v, w are sufficiently large

$$f(u,v,w), g(u,v,w), h(u,v,w) < 0, \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$f(u,v,w), g(u,v,w), h(u,v,w) > 0, \tag{2.6}$$

when u, v, w are sufficiently small.

[**A4**] ***(Covering pentahedron)*** the three surfaces

$$\mathcal{S}_f = \big\{(u,v,w) \;\big|\; f(u,v,w) = 0,\ u,v,w \geq 0\big\}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_g = \big\{(u,v,w) \;\big|\; g(u,v,w) = 0,\ u,v,w \geq 0\big\}, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_h = \big\{(u,v,w) \;\big|\; h(u,v,w) = 0,\ u,v,w \geq 0\big\}, \tag{2.9}$$

lie completely within the pentahedron (a polyhedron with five faces) $\mathcal{PH}$, which is enclosed by the uv-plane, the uw-plane, and the vw-plane as well as the planes

$$\bar{\mathcal{P}} = \big\{(u,v,w) \;\big|\; \frac{u}{\bar{u}} + \frac{v}{\bar{v}} + \frac{w}{\bar{w}} = 1,\ u,v,w \geq 0\big\} \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\underline{\mathcal{P}} = \big\{(u,v,w) \;\big|\; \frac{u}{\underline{u}} + \frac{v}{\underline{v}} + \frac{w}{\underline{w}} = 1,\ u,v,w \geq 0\big\} \tag{2.11}$$

for some $\bar{u} > \underline{u} > 0$, $\bar{v} > \underline{v} > 0$, $\bar{w} > \underline{w} > 0$.

We can prove in a similar manner that an N-barrier maximum principle remains true for systems of three equations.

Theorem 2.1 (**N-Barrier Maximum Principle for Systems of Three Equations**). *Assume* [**A1**]∼[**A4**] *are satisfied. Suppose that there exists a pair of positive solutions* $(u(x), v(x), w(x))$ *of the boundary value problem*

$$\begin{cases} d_1\, u_{xx} + \theta\, u_x + u\, f(u,v,w) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ d_2\, v_{xx} + \theta\, v_x + v\, g(u,v,w) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ d_3\, w_{xx} + \theta\, w_x + w\, h(u,v,w) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ (u,v,w)(-\infty) = \mathbf{e}_-, \quad (u,v,w)(+\infty) = \mathbf{e}_+, \end{cases} \tag{2.12}$$

where $\mathbf{e}_-, \mathbf{e}_+ = (0,0,0), (u_1,0,0), (0,v_2,0), (0,0,w_3), (u^*,v^*,w^*)$. *For any* $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0$, *let* $p(x) = \alpha\, u(x) + \beta\, v(x) + \gamma\, w(x)$. *We have*

$$\min\left(\alpha\,\underline{u}, \beta\,\underline{v}, \gamma\,\underline{w}\right)\frac{\min(d_1,d_2,d_3)}{\max(d_1,d_2,d_3)}\,\chi \le p(x) \le \max\left(\alpha\,\bar{u}, \beta\,\bar{v}, \gamma\,\bar{w}\right)\frac{\max(d_1,d_2,d_3)}{\min(d_1,d_2,d_3)} \tag{2.13}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, *where*

$$\chi = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if} \quad \mathbf{e}_\pm \neq (0,0,0), \\ 0, & \text{if} \quad \mathbf{e}_\pm = (0,0,0). \end{cases} \tag{2.14}$$

References

[1] L.-C. Hung, *An n-barrier maximum principle for elliptic systems arising from the study of traveling waves in reaction-diffusion systems*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1509.00278, (2015).

[2] L.-C. Hung and C.-C. Chen, *Maximum principles for diffusive lotka-volterra systems of two competing species*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1509.00071, (2015).

[3] A. I. Volpert, V. A. Volpert, and V. A. Volpert, *Traveling wave solutions of parabolic systems*, vol. 140 of Translations of Mathematical Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994. Translated from the Russian manuscript by James F. Heyda.